

C8

THE DISTRICT

Group protests Post's coverage of Kashmir

BY FREDRICK KUNKLE

About 100 people demonstrated outside The Washington Post's building on Saturday to protest its coverage of the recent crisis in India's Kashmir region.

Vijay K. Sazawal, a protest organizer, said he believes The Post's reporting has been overly sympathetic to Kashmir's Muslim-majority community while overlooking the concerns of Hindus and other minorities who live there.

"The point The Post has consistently failed to recognize is the injustice that has been done to the minorities of Kashmir by the majority community, which is the Muslim community," said Sazawal, international coordinator for the Indo-American Kashmir Forum. "You don't recognize, we have been ethnically cleansed from the Kashmir Valley in the past 20 years."

A smaller group of counter-demonstrators gathered nearby.

"The Post's coverage of India's actions in Kashmir since the Aug. 5 crackdown has been fair, accurate and comprehensive — at

a time when India has imposed tight restrictions on the flow of information and has severely limited access by our journalists trying to cover this important story," Post Foreign Editor Douglas Jehl said.

Last month, Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi, following a path long advocated by Hindu nationalists, revoked a measure of Kashmir's autonomy and imposed a communications blackout over the majority-Muslim region and detained thousands of people, including politicians and activists. The move, breaking with decades of history, has affected millions in the region.

Critics called the prime minister's action unconstitutional and warned that the crackdown would backfire by stirring violent protest and separatist passions. Although India's government has said the situation has eased in recent days, the Kashmir valley is still cut off without Internet or mobile phone service. Stores have closed in protest, and nearly all of the region's political leadership is under arrest.

fredrick.kunkle@washpost.com

Indo-American Kashmir Forum



OLIVER CONTRERAS FOR THE WASHINGTON POST

Protesters said The Washington Post's coverage of India's Kashmir region has been too sympathetic to the area's Muslim majority.

rediff.com



Indo-American Kashmir Forum

Print this Page

Close

US lawmakers concerned over Pandits' plight

Aziz Haniffa in Washington | | May 30, 2003 | 09:50 IST

United States Congressmen Frank Pallone and Sherrod Brown have expressed concern over the plight of Kashmiri Pandits and urged Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee to create a 'political and economic' safety net for them.

In a letter to Vajpayee, the founding members of Congressional India Caucus said Vajpayee's 'inability' to mention the Nadimarg massacre – in which 24 Pandits were killed by terrorists – during his visit to Kashmir in April left a lasting impression that the community was being ignored by state and central governments.

"On April 18th in Srinagar, you expressed your sentiments towards Kashmiri Muslims who feel disenfranchised within the Indian polity. We request that you make a public address informing Pandits about how they can be included in Kashmir polity and in the peace process between India and Pakistan," they said.

"We fail to understand how the state and central governments can continue to ignore the long-standing situation in Jammu and Kashmir," they added. "Unfortunately, there does not appear to be a coherent and sustained process to restore the valley to its diverse history."

Pallone and Brown said no community in the state suffered more violence than the Kashmiri Pandits, who have been brutalised by Islamic fundamentalists. This resulted in their mass exodus from the valley since 1990s, making them refugees in their own country, they added.

The two lawmakers pointed to a series of cold-blooded murders against Pandits in Sangrampora (1996), Wandhama (1998), Talwani (2000), and Nadimarg (2003), as the government's failure to protect the community.

Pallone and Brown, however, congratulated the prime minister on yet another peace initiative with Pakistan.

Vijay Sazawal, national president of Indian American Kashmiri Forum, told *rediff.com* that his organisation had been approached by the Kashmiri Pandit community in India, including flagship outfits like the Kashmiri Samiti (New Delhi), Kashmiri Pandit Sabha (Jammu) and the All-India Kashmiri Samaj to lobby the US Congress.

"The community expressed considerable disappointment that the prime minister during his last trip to Kashmir had made absolutely no public mention of Kashmiri Pandits and their welfare, considering that the community has been ethnically cleansed out of the valley," he said.

Sazawal said the IAKF was 'very concerned' that the Indian government has taken a very 'ambivalent' approach towards the future of the Kashmiri Pandits.

Print this Page

Close

URL for this article:

<http://www.rediff.com/us/2003/may/30us.htm>

© 2003 rediff.com India Limited. All Rights Reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed.

MARY McGRORY

Recognizing Other Refugees

Hurricane Andrew has added new marchers to the pathetic parade of refugees streaming across the world, usually in other countries. They are better off but they have the same problems of those uprooted from their homes and uncertain about tomorrow.

We see children in Somalia, with starting ribs and starting eyes. We see the Bosnians fleeing the Serbs, clutching their babies and their grandmothers; they know they can expect no mercy from ethnic fiends who fire on buses carrying children to safety and machine-gun the funerals of the victims.

At least we see their pictures in the paper. We see them on television every night. We know what is happening to them. The world may be slow to respond, but at least it is watching. We dial the international 911, the United Nations. We send food trucks. We reproach governments that can't or won't do right. When Mother Nature is the agent, we declare a disaster area. We weep with the dispossessed. We rage at their oppressors. It does not put food in distended bellies or restore wrecked homes. But it may be better than not having one's suffering noticed.

Take the case of the Guatemalan refugees, about 46,000 of whom live in U.N.-sponsored refugee camps in Mexico. It's time to go home, the United Nations is telling them. The financial aid is being wound down, the camps must close.

But going home to Guatemala is like stepping off a cliff. There is perhaps some mathematical chance of survival, but the chances are minimal. The government of Guatemala, which we coddled during the contra war, has a hair-raising record of civil rights violations. The majority Indian population is treated like insects by the people in charge.

The authorities boast that they have no political prisoners. That is true. They murder them. Indigenous people are thought to be enemies of the state; in the last 10 years, 200,000 civilians have been killed or "disappeared."

The refugees are understandably seeking guarantees from the government before going back. They want assurances of human rights, an international escort to take them back and visit them later, and freedom of mobility, association and organization. The last word from the negotiation table is that the representatives of the regime have promised they would not

employ their notorious "civil patrols"—the death squads that eliminated labor leaders, human rights advocates and students—"unless necessary."

We pay attention to Guatemala when its security forces go after U.S. citizens, like Sister Diana Ortiz, who was raped and tortured, and Michael Devine, who was murdered. Something more is called for. We are the only great power in the world, and therefore the closest it has to a conscience—couldn't someone demand a show of human decency? Couldn't we take sides? In convention, Republicans took credit for the democratization of the world; how about some in our own hemisphere?

Some Americans think "attention must be paid." They are members of an organization called Witness for Peace and they write, tirelessly and politely, to reporters, editors, radio stations and members of Congress, pointing out the injustices and atrocities. They believe, as Bernice Muller of Tucson wrote, that "the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness . . . extends to all mankind."

Across the globe, in Kashmir, an earthly paradise, other blameless people are suffering hellish persecution not for anything they did but for being who they are. The Kashmiri Pandits, a minority Hindu sect that has lived in Kashmir for 5,000 years, would like to go home, just as much as the Guatemalan peasants. They have been driven off their ancestral lands by the terrorism of Islamic guerrillas who wish to annex Kashmir to the crescent of fundamentalist countries in the area. India, which will do anything to keep Kashmir in its possession, has refused to acknowledge the existence of the Pandits in refugee camps along the border, because "they don't want to add a religious element to the problem." Under the oppression, the population has shrunk from 280,000 to 250,000. Their numbers are being reduced further by conditions in the camp—snake bites and extreme heat.

A few loud words from our acting secretary of state about the abominable conduct of both India and Pakistan might help, but, alas, he is otherwise engaged. We hear about it because a Kashmiri-born U.S. citizen, an engineer named Vijay K. Sazawal, in the American way, won't have it. He speaks, writes, addresses Amnesty International meetings and has formed an organization to protest—and to remind the world that it should not accept the unacceptable.

Indo-American Kashmir Forum

